IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 985 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

==========

1	Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2	To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
3	Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
4	Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?
5	Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

PANDESARA INDUSTRIAL COOPERATIVE SOCIETY LTD. - Applicant(s)

Versus

MANUBHAI NARANBHAI PATEL &8 - Opponent(s)

==========

Appearance :

MR. JANAK RAVAL for MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Petitioner No(s).: 1.

RULE UNSERVED for Respondent No(s).: 1,2.
RULE SERVED for Respondent No(s).: 3,4, 5,6, 7,8,9.

==========

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 12/07/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

1.Mr. Janak Raval, learned advocate of the petitioner states that Regular Civil Suit No. 2221 of 1984 has been disposed of as it is withdrawn by the plaintiff, therefore, this petition, which is filed against the impugned order dated 30.6.2000 recorded below application exh.60 in Regular Civil Suit No. 2221 of 1984 by the learned 2nd Joint Civil Judge (SD), Surat, has now become infructuous. He, therefore urged to pass appropriate orders.

2.Since this petition is arising out of the impugned order recored below application exh. 60 in Regular Civil Suit No. 2221 of 194, which has been disposed of as withdrawn, this petition stands disposed of as having become infructuous, with no order as to costs. Rule is discharged.

3.The communication dated 8.7.2005 sent by Pandesara Industrial Cooperative Society Ltd. - petitioner herein to Mr. Tushar Mehta, learned Senior Counsel, shall be retained on record of the case.

(A.M.Kapadia,J)

Jayanti*